

April 30th, 2012 (#1076)
Alan Watt "Cutting Through The Matrix" LIVE on RBN:

Poem Copyright Alan Watt April 30, 2012:

Green Total Make-over of Malthusian Take-over:
RIO Plus 20 with its Laws, Rules, Decisions,
Creates Scary Scenarios with Media Repetitions:
"Self-Appointed "Guardians" Over the World,
Demanding Depopulation - Green Banner Unfurled,
Spouting from Podiums of "Prestigious" Institutions
And Preparing the Way for New RIO Resolutions,
With Malthusian Graphs, Flow-Charts, Statistics,
They (as Always) Paint a Future so Unrealistic,
But Remember the Goal - Control Over All,
More Global Taxes, They're Having a Ball,
It's a New World Order, Scientifically Run,
Behaviour Modification, They've Only Begun,
Non-Democratic Self-Appointed "Expert" Institutions,
Pushing Disguised Eugenics for the Final Solution"
© Alan Watt April 30, 2012

Poem & Dialogue Copyrighted Alan Watt - April 30th, 2012 (Exempting Music, Literary
Quotes, and Callers' Comments)

cuttingthroughthematrix.com

alternate sites:

cuttingthroughthematrix.net , .us , .ca
alanwattcuttingthroughthematrix.ca

mirror site:

cuttingthrough.jenkness.com

European site includes all audios & downloadable TRANSCRIPTS in European
languages for print up:
alanwattsentientsentinel.eu

Information for purchasing Alan's books, CDs, DVDs and DONATIONS:

Canada and America: PayPal, Cash, personal checks &
for the US, **INTERNATIONAL** postal money orders / for Canada, INTERNAL postal
money orders

(America: Postal Money orders - Stress the **INTERNATIONAL** pink one, not the green
internal one.)

Outside the Americas: PayPal, Cash, Western Union and Money Gram
(Money Gram is cheaper; even cheaper is a Money Gram check - in Canadian dollars:
mail via the postal services worldwide.)

PayPal Orders: USE THE **DONATE** BUTTON ON THE WEBSITE - AND -
Send a separate email along with the donation (list your order, name and address)

Click the link below for your location (ordering info):

[USA](#) [Canada](#) [Europe/Scandinavian](#) [All Other Countries](#)

Hi folks, I'm Alan Watt and this is Cutting Through the Matrix on April the 30th 2012.

For newcomers, I always suggest you make use of- and full use of- the website, cuttingthroughthematrix.com, because there's well over a thousand audios for free download and you can definitely start to understand the system you've been born into, and how your parents were born into it, and your grandparents even; it's a very old system of a group of gangsters basically, the richest men on the planet, who got together in the late 1800's and set up societies which merged in the beginning of the 20th Century to form the Royal Institute of International Affairs with the mission to take over all of the world's resources, and while they were at it they would amalgamate all the countries together too. They funded the United Nations into existence and the precursor, the League of Nations. And they caused the wars; they brought the wars on: they were fomenting wars with Germany as far back as the late 1800s to get a world war going. They said only out of conflict will they get their desired results. And sure enough, you start to sign treaties—all lead to a world government. We're all killing each other and bingo: they got what they wanted. So, really, we live in a rigged system at every single level. They also have all their central banks- the private banks- across the planet now, and the banks are all under the Bank for International Settlements; exactly as their historian talked about, Carroll Quigley. He said that's how it would be at the end—we're there right now. And of course the central banks, these privately-owned monstrosities owned by this small cabal, really own the military-industrial complex: they've got every country under their thumb. Basically we're in a new form of slavery. That's what we're in; it's a new form of slavery.

So, help yourself to the audios. Remember too, all the sites listed at cuttingthroughthematrix.com have transcripts for download in English, and if you go into Alanwattsentientsentinel.eu you'll find the transcripts in other languages too—take your pick.

Remember, you are the audience that bring me to you. You can buy the books and discs at cuttingthroughthematrix.com because I don't get paid by advertisers, and I don't bring advertisers on, and I depend upon *you* to keep me going because you kind of like this stuff too, it's different and it often is more in-depth than other shows. So, buy the books and discs as I say. Remember, from the US to Canada you can use personal checks and International Postal Money Orders, you can send cash or you can use PayPal. And straight donations are very, very welcome indeed. Across the world: Western Union, Money Gram and PayPal once again.

This world we're living in, as I say, it's a planned society: a planned world society. And they've been at it for a long, long time and we are living through the part that they knew would come, which is a form of chaos as they get all their big machinations from across the world, sort of grinding together, to bring in this global structure; including the bank crashes which solidified their positions, actually—that's what they were for. The banks lost nothing, and if anything, they've got more power than ever before. The taxpayers got utterly raped and plundered- by their governments- and that tells you who own your governments, by the way. And they do, because, you see, the Council on Foreign Relations or Royal Institute of International Affairs, which are across the whole planet in every country, appoint Prime Ministers and Presidents and have done for a hundred years. How do you like that one? And again, that came from their own historian from their own archives: Carroll Quigley.

So, we live in a fake system (a very fake system) full of eugenicists of course at the top because, see, class distinction has always been here, and when they put Darwin out in front he simply verbalized what he'd been taught by his father and grandfathers that the lesser breeds- those who haven't evolved really- would bring the ones who had evolved down, so they had to be eliminated. That has never ever stopped, that particular belief system, and it's taught in every school across the world—Darwinism, Darwinism. And

basically it goes into eugenics, with its necessary depopulation of, well, the unfit, those who didn't make the great leap forward.

Back with more after this break.

Hi folks, I'm back Cutting Through the Matrix. A long time ago, various eugenicists talked about the society they would bring into being, and they also believed, being the dominate minority as they called themselves up there, that they would have to take children away from their parents at birth at one point. Big debates from the League of Nations went on after World War I right up to World War II, and again too because of what happened in Germany during World War II when the Nazis copied the American system of sterilizing what they called "the unfit," and it actually said that in Mien Kampf by the way: Hitler said that he was copying the American system, with the sterilization programs. Then they went back under cover because it didn't sound too good about all the plans that they had about taking children from parents and that kind of thing. So, Bertrand Russell came out and said that we used to believe that we'd have to take the children from the parents at birth, and the state would rear them to give them the new values. The old values, you see, from the kind of lower classes, would contaminate the children so they'd behave and have the same moral values as their parents - which is an awful lot better than we've got today, believe you me. Anyway, then eventually of course he said, no; he says, through scientific testing we've found we can actually do scientific indoctrination in primary care, if we get them in young enough and then we can leave them, the children, with their parents who will simply become the financiers and caretakers of the children; the State will give them their views, opinions, and the new morality. So they'd already tested it out and found that it worked very, very well.

Across the world we've seen this massive- again sponsored through the United Nations and all their different departments...mind you, the United Nations is not, remember, an elected system; they always shout about "democracy": they're not democratic. None of us voted them in to lord over us; they're basically the big hammer that the dominant elite put in themselves to dominate us- that's really what it's for, to bring in this "New World Order" as they call it.

Anyway, as I say, it's big business of course taking children from parents, and it's rampant through Britain, it's rampant through the States; I don't know how bad it is in Canada, you don't see too much at the moment; I'm sure it's the same here too. And there's a lot of money to be made for those who win the little staged trials that they have to get children into care—where they're really screwed up forever. Now, this article here is from a woman who worked with a doctor who worked at Family Assessment Centre in Swindon, in England. So she worked with this guy, who decided if you were going to keep your child or not. It says...

'I never saw him observing parents with children':

(Alan: This is the guy who, you know, is deciding their fate...)

The woman who said she was forced to take babies from their mothers by the 'expert' who played God

- Keira Roberts worked for Dr George Hibbert at Family Assessment Centre in Swindon
- He set parents odd tasks, like changing a car tyre while caring for a child

(A: At the same time. She says...)

‘We had to put only bad things in our reports’

(A: They were only allowed to put bad things, or negative things.)

Dr Hibbert made more than £40,000 a week

(A: This sleazy son of a you know what. Anyway, it says...)

Anyone who works in child protection will tell you that one of the worst aspects of the job is having to take a youngster from its mother’s arms, possibly forever.

It is heart-breaking enough, even when you are 100 per cent sure that being taken into care is the best thing for that child. But what if you are forcibly removing a child from a mother you believe to be a good and caring parent?

Keira Roberts never imagined that she would find herself in such a position when she accepted a job with an eminent psychiatrist

(A: Remember, the top eugenicists by the way were all psychiatrists at that time; now they’re mainly neuroscientists and psychologists, etcetera. Because they’re all taught eugenics: everything that’s taught is eugenics, eugenics, eugenics. And it says...)

who specialized in helping local authorities identify dangerous or neglectful parents.

Dr George Hibbert was regarded as one of country’s leading experts on child abuse,

(A: Mind you, I mean, Doctor Ewen Cameron who did the MK-Ultra experiments with LSD and electroshock therapy, hundreds of doses per person- including lots of children by the way- was promoted top at the United Nations for international child psychiatry. Getting back to this story...)

had lectured at Oxford and had advised MPs on the family courts system.

When Keira agreed to work for him, it was at one of his Family Assessment Centres —

(A: He had them all over the place....

places where families who had come to the attention of social services departments were referred. They were placed under round-the-clock observation while it was determined what should happen next.

(A: Now, this is all over the UK, where they actually come into your home and live with you and photograph everything.)

It was a very intrusive set-up, but Keira assumed that Dr Hibbert — who was highly trusted (and very well paid) by a string of local authorities — knew what he was doing.

And in the wake of the Baby P scandal, she understood how important it was for any concerns about parental abuse to be acted on.

But protecting children is one thing. Ripping families apart — apparently for little reason — is another. Yet this is what Keira claims Dr Hibbert did, and on a scale that is staggering.

Alarm bells first started ringing when she felt Dr Hibbert wanted his staff to concentrate on recording only the negative aspects of what they observed.

And she became dismayed when the parents were set bizarre and, she felt, unfair tasks, to assess how they coped.

She watched one young mum struggle

(A: This is the test they put you through...)

to vacuum the stairs while carrying a baby.

(A: I would say that's kind of dangerous to do that.)

Another was sent off to the supermarket with her child in tow to grapple alone with a huge shop for 14 people.

But her bemusement at Dr Hibbert's methods turned to horror when she realised that when parents 'failed' to complete these tasks to Dr Hibbert's high (or 'impossible', as she puts it) standards, it counted against them and their children were being taken.

And what haunts her is that she was sometimes the one doing the forcible removal of the children.

The case that still keeps her awake at night involved a young mother called Anna — a woman Keira had been observing for 14 weeks, and considered a good mother. She had come from a difficult background, but there was no evidence that she was abusive or neglectful towards her child.

Keira was impressed with what a good job she did of caring for her baby.

Yet at five o'clock one morning when she was working at the assessment centre, Keira was confronted by a sobbing Anna. She was cradling her baby, rocking from side to side and weeping uncontrollably, and begging for Keira's help.

'She was convinced she was going home without her baby because she thought she had failed. She was hysterical. She kept asking: "Don't you think I am a good mother?" I did, but there was nothing I could do. Dr Hibbert had made his decision.'

(A: 5 in the morning.)

Eventually, Keira was the one who had to take the baby from her arms. 'It was the worst thing I have ever had to do,' she says.

(A: Well, she shouldn't have done it.)

But Anna wasn't an isolated case. Quite how routinely babies were removed from their parents as a result of Dr Hibbert's practices is not yet known. But Keira was not the only one to fear that her boss was abusing his powers.

Earlier this year, Dr Hibbert offered to surrender his doctor's licence when the General Medical Council launched an investigation over accusations that he had deliberately misdiagnosed mothers as suffering from mental disorders to fit in with the view of social services.

(A: I'll read that part again. He was misdiagnosing...)

mothers as suffering from mental disorders to fit in with the view of social services.

(A: Now, social services should not be involved in diagnosing anything at all, never mind having their own particular views on things.)

The GMC refused to accept Dr Hibbert's offer, and is investigating his fitness to practice.

(A: Well, they should actually put a massive lawsuit in here on this character; that's what they should do: a massive, massive lawsuit, a joint lawsuit, all the mothers and families who've been broken up because of this bar-steward, you know.

This is the first time a member of his staff has come forward to talk about what they say went on inside one of his assessment centres.

Keira spent over a year working for Dr Hibbert at Windmill House, Swindon. Her account — given on the condition we protect her identity — paints a horrifying picture of the lives of the parents he told the family courts were 'unfit' to look after their children.

(A: It's about time actually we started throwing all these "experts" down the chute somewhere, because they're running our lives in every particular area and of course this is part of the new world order, it's worldwide, and everything comes eventually through the United Nations to all these associations that eventually have all this power. Remember what Lenin said? He says all these services will eventually become authorities. Anyway, it says...

Between four and eight families would live at Windmill House at any one time, with Keira and her colleagues watching them 24 hours a day, scrutinizing their every interaction with their babies.

It was 'just like the Big Brother house,' she says, 'but 100 times worse because they knew if they did something that was deemed wrong, they risked losing their child.'

(A: Can you imagine being in that predicament?)

Even at night, Keira claims, staff listened in to their parenting — and, in the case of couples, their intimate conversations — using baby monitors.

(A: It's time they all went down the chute somewhere. I don't care really where they land; maybe on the street where they can get a real job— if they can get a real job.)

She says mothers were not even allowed to breastfeed in private. 'I always felt it was very intrusive,' Keira says.

'When I was watching the parents in the same room, I would sip water or do a crossword so they wouldn't feel too watched.'

(A: You understand, this is what scientists do with animals in cages, and everyone is to blame here for letting these agencies get so damn powerful, because no one cares until it happens to them. It says....)

Perhaps such intrusion could have been justified had honest observations about the parents' interaction with their children been made.

Keira says things started in a balanced way, with staff being told to write, in the margins of their copious notes, a small 'G' for good parenting, 'B' for bad or 'R' for routine.

But later, she says, they understood they should write down only the bad aspects of parenting they observed.

She says: 'Dr Hibbert said: "All I want, all I need you to do is highlight anything that's bad." We did not include any good parenting because the courts would take it as read.'

Staff were horrified, believing this was going to give a 'skewed view'.

(A: Anyway, all the staff knew it was wrong, but they carried on with it because that's how people are: obedience to authority, self-interest and all the rest of it, you understand. Anyway, they make you change a tire while caring for a child. How can you do that safely? To yourself, the child, or the car? Anyway...)

'The assessments were ludicrous,' Keira says. 'A lot of the staff said that if we found ourselves in their situation, we'd fail. It was a totally unrealistic, false environment.'

Incredibly, though, the mothers would often succeed against all odds. 'They were so desperate to pass,' Keira recalls quietly.

While she and her colleagues had to watch the parents round the clock, Keira claims Dr Hibbert was rarely at Windmill House.

To see for himself, in other words. This is the system you live in folks, and it's like Fabianism: it creeps up slowly, slowly, slowly, and the next thing you know they're making policies that affect everyone's lives.

Back with more after this break...

Hi folks, I'm back Cutting Through the Matrix, talking about this racket, this incredible cancer that grows when you allow government services. Services really that become sort of affiliated with government, kind of by super-glue or something or lots of bribes, or it's just an agenda to be honest with you, and how they just grab children all over the place. There's one of the listeners, actually, in trouble because tomorrow she goes to court and here's a bit of her story here, and she said I can use her name because she wants people if possible who know about this to phone her and maybe email her with any advice, or even turn up at the court, or even send off letters or faxes or emails to the court because it's on tomorrow, this thing. It says the mother of this child is Katerina Jeleva and her ex-husband is Brett Beyer, and it says the case number is 1065414, and it says "Brett Beyer vs. Katerina Jeleva." Well, it says...

Katerina's son was originally taken through a Protective Order in November 2011 filed by her ex-husband. Her ex-husband didn't show up for the hearing so her son came home.

(A: Because they had taken the son away, right, so the son came home. The husband, by the way, went bankrupt and he's got various problems. It says, the...)

Guardian ad Litem (GAL), Amber Ruder,

(A: Well, she's called Amber Ruder, but a Guardian ad Litem is, basically in Utah, Mormon country, it says it's a person who is appointed by the court, generally a lawyer, who acts almost like - not even an investigator - a prosecutor in a sense. Anyway...)

Amber Ruder, was appointed by the state to represent a minor child. Ruder helped Katerina's ex-husband get another Protective Order signed by a judge to last 5 months, so the [police] came to her house the day after the first hearing and literally plucked Katerina's son from her arms.

Two detectives and also the DCFS (Dept. of Children and Family Services) investigate the alleged child abuse. Detectives Leonard and Detective Ellesworth (Ellesworth totally supported Katerina. The DCFS investigator - Carly Echols, also a great [woman], special sex abuse unit - very smart lady, did her investigation and wrote a great report in Katerina's favour - saying that allegations are fabricated, no evidence of child abuse whatsoever, and closed the case again as "falsely accused". Their reports are public record, but they were dismissed as hearsay and Katerina was not allowed to use them in court as evidence at the first hearing.

(A: And now back to the GAL, the guardian appointed lawyer...)

Amber Ruder (GAL, Guardian ad Litem) testified against Katerina and convinced the judge that she abused her son. She perjured herself in court on the record.

(A: By saying so...)

Katerina appealed and had the detective and DCFS as expert witnesses defending her at the second hearing so that it's not hearsay anymore. G.A.L. Amber Ruder again representing Katerina's son, testified that she was a child abuser.

(A: That Katerina was...)

Regardless, the 2nd judge wrote a court order stating that even though Katerina can't directly contact her son, Amber Ruder (GAL, Guardian ad Litem) must in no uncertain terms

(A: Now, here's the judge telling the children's aid appointee, this lawyer Amber Ruder, the guardian that the state appoints, must in no uncertain terms...)

make a recommendation along with a therapist, as to how often and when Katerina should start meeting her son.

(A: He actually says we've got to get this family together again...)

To his credit, the judge said: "We must put this family back together". Amber Ruder made no such recommendation,

(A: She's inferior to the judge, right, this Amber Ruder.)

in fact Katerina has an email from Amber Ruder saying "I cannot and will not do this for you."

(A: So she was going against the judge's orders, this woman who is the court appointed lawyer.)

The court order also says anyone who fails to comply with it is in contempt and will be held liable. Katerina has so far complied with that court order.

Amber Ruder told Katerina recently that since she hasn't seen her son for so long, he might NOT be coming home, as the judge advised, on April 17th, because it might be "too traumatic" for him.

(A: Well, you understand, this Ruder isn't allowing Katerina to see the son. Part of the condition is you're allowed to see the son, and when she phones up for an appointment, Ms. Ruder here, you know, who is a high and mighty chutzpah, as far as she's concerned, is saying, well, you can't see him. Just so she can get her way when this case comes up tomorrow. So...)

Amber Ruder told Katerina recently that since she hasn't seen her son for so long, he might NOT be coming home, as the judge advised, on April 17th, because it might be "too traumatic" for him. On Tuesday, May 1st, Katerina must represent herself in court because there's been a filing to terminate her parental rights altogether.

(A: When they go after you, they just don't give up, even when the judges order them to. You understand? And it says...)

Anyone who wants to talk to Katerina can contact her at 1-801-489-7446 or by email, katia_830@hotmail.com

They can contact Amber Ruder (GAL)

(A: This is the one who has got it in for them, of course, who doesn't obey judge's

orders.)

or the new judge - Mary Noonan.

This is GAL Ruder's info:

(A: This is the one who is not going to take the judge's recommendations and wants the child anyway.)

Amber M. Ruder
Guardian ad Litem, Attorney
Fourth District
32 West Center Street, Suite 205
Provo, Utah 84601
(w) 801-344-8516
(fax) 801-344-8597

(A: Now, I'll put this up tonight on the web page for those who want to send in and get some support to get this child back to its rightful mother.)

Back with more after this...

Hi folks, I'm back, and we're Cutting Through the Matrix. Just talking about the court systems to do with families and so on, and the massive power they wield, and children's services too, which seems to think they're even higher than the judges when it comes to cases; they just feel rather "put out," you see, when their own petty authority is overruled by judges and they don't want to go along with it, and they have spiteful attitudes too of course. So, I'll put up tonight, as I say, the details of where to email, and Katerina's phone number again and her email address so that people can at least bombard the court, that's going to be held tomorrow morning, with support for Katerina so she can get her child back. It's quite the setup when you understand the whole case, it's quite a setup indeed, where even the experts say this child was coached and put up with it because he didn't understand the terms that he was using, even in relation to body parts or anything else; was well coached, but unfortunately not well enough.

Now, there's a war going on, you understand. There is a war: we're being dumbed down like crazy through inoculations and through the poisoned food, never mind the terrible education you get at the bottom level- and we all get the terrible education. And of course except for those who go to Ivy League, and that's where the real education of the dominant minority is given: it's passed on to those who'll be future CEOs and rulers, and who laugh in your face of course at the little petty people below. And that really is how it is; it's that simple; it's been like that for an awful long time. One of the ways they're bringing us down of course is this - it's incredible, the screeds and screeds and screeds of stuff on autism I've got here over the many years is about a mile high. And they keep coming up with the same things of course and vaccines, and it's always "oh, no, no, no," because the CDC is all part of this. And...

Infant Monkeys Given Standard Doses of Vaccines (A: That children got.)
Develop Autism Symptoms

(A: They did a test, a really proper test and the whole bit. And it says...)

Atlanta, GA: Findings released today showed that infant monkeys given vaccines officially recommended by the CDC and the American Academy of

Pediatrics (AAP) exhibited autism-like symptoms.

(A: So the monkeys got exactly what the children are getting...)

Lead investigator Laura Hewitson of the University of Pittsburgh and colleagues presented study results at the International Meeting for Autism Research (IMFAR) in London. Safety studies of medicines are typically conducted in monkeys prior to use in humans, yet such basic research on the current childhood vaccination regimen has never before been done.

(A: This is what we're told; this is what they tell you. Of course they've done it. They know what it does; it's meant to do this, you understand. They don't want a bright, intelligent, well-informed, and somebody with eloquent speech to come out at this time and tell the public what's going on. They don't want you conversing except in, you know, mini-speak, it's like a minimal speak, or you can't even put a sentence together a lot of times the way it's going now.)

The abstracts presented at IMFAR, the world's top autism science conference, describe biological changes and altered behavior in vaccinated macaques

(A: I guess that's the monkeys' type...)

that are similar to those observed in children with autism. Unvaccinated animals showed no such adverse outcomes.

(A: So, naturally, it's the same old test over and over. The ones that didn't get vaccination were normal.)

The vaccines given were those recommended for U.S. infants in the 1990s,

(A: So they got all the infant shots that you were giving them all through the 1990s.)

including several with the mercury preservative thimerosal and the Measles-Mumps-Rubella vaccine. Rates of autism spectrum disorder among children born in the 1990s surged dramatically, from about 1 in 5,000 to 1 in 150 children.

(A: That's what it is now. "Oh, we don't know what's causing it." Any flat-foot detective could figure this one out in no time at all. What's changed in society? And you go through what's changed. What's been put into their systems that wasn't there before? "Oh, gee whiz, all these vaccinations!")

"This research underscores the critical need for more investigation into immunizations, mercury, and the alterations seen in autistic children," stated Lyn Redwood, director of SafeMinds. "SafeMinds calls for large scale, unbiased studies that look at autism medical conditions and the effects of vaccines given as a regimen."

The group's request for research echoes that of Dr. Bernadine Healy, Former NIH Director, in a CBS interview earlier this week. She asserted that public health officials have been too quick to dismiss an autism-vaccine connection when the research has been insufficient.

(A: Now this isn't just big pharma, who certainly has got incredible ten-year contracts at a time for all these vaccines by the governments. It certainly makes it very lucrative, but it's also to dumb you all down; there's no doubt about it. This has been going on for way, way too long. Way too long, you understand. And it's skyrocketing, so it's obviously meant to be. Anyway, it says...)

The government recently conceded a federal vaccine court case which agreed that a child regressed into autism as a result of 9 vaccines given on one day.

(A: Remember that one last week I mentioned? One top specialist says, oh, we can give them 10,000 vaccinations in a day and it wouldn't harm them. Oh God, eh?

"The full implications of this primate study await publication of the research in a scientific journal," noted Theresa Wrangham, president of SafeMinds. "But we can say that it demonstrates how the CDC evaded their responsibility to investigate vaccine safety questions. Vaccine safety oversight should be removed from the CDC and given to an independent agency."

And that's only one of the ever-ongoing studies that show what's causing it, but, no, they're never going to admit it- never going to: it's an agenda, you understand. When they don't admit something it's an agenda. It's an agenda.

This one is amazing too. I don't know if you've known about Time and Motion studies. There are different names for them in different countries, but they bring in the *experts*, you see, to observe you. Just like they observed the parents trying to change a tire with a baby in their arms, with the other creep, you know.

Breastfeeding isn't free: Study reveals 'hidden cost' associated with the practice

(A: Well this is quite amazing, because she's got the same name, I think, as the judge that's hearing this case tomorrow. I don't know if there's any connection - The American Sociological Association; there might be. Anyway, this is what she's on about, Mary Noonan, it says...)

Pediatricians and other breastfeeding advocates often encourage new mothers to breastfeed their babies for at least the first six months of their infants' lives based on the purported health benefits to both mothers and children. Many breastfeeding proponents also argue that breastfeeding has financial advantages over formula-feeding—breastfeeding is free, they say. But, according to a new study,

(A: They're always doing studies, all these experts, eh? All these experts...)

the notion that there's no cost associated with breastfeeding for the recommended amount of time is patently untrue.

(A: Now, here's where they go. It says...)

"Breastfeeding for six months or longer is only free if a mother's time is worth absolutely nothing," said Mary C. Noonan

(A: That's the name of the judge [Mary Noonan]...)

an Associate Professor of Sociology at the University of Iowa, and coauthor of the study, "Is Breastfeeding Truly Cost Free?

(A: The whole study's to do with your income.)

Income Consequences of Breastfeeding for Women," which appears in the April issue of the American Sociological Review.

The study relies on a nationally representative sample of 1,313 first-time mothers in the United States, who were in their 20s or 30s when they gave birth between 1980 and 1993....

It's to do with how soon they can get to work, and how much money they lose if they're not at work. In other words, it's a push for not breastfeeding your children; that's really what the whole thing is. And to eat your, basically, plastic children's food that they put out for them, with all the by-products of the petroleum industry that's in it actually. And that is a fact, they do put that in it, and manage to get it labeled as a protein. So anyway, it's about the cost, and to get mothers to hurry up with breastfeeding and get back to work. That's really what it's about. Experts again. Experts again, eh? That's what your function is: a good producer and consumer and taxpayer. You can't be doing all that, you see, if you're having children. Good God almighty. They just can't leave anybody alone, can they? And they won't. And they won't, until you stand up and say "get off my back. Get off my back."

So anyway, it's a cost-effective study basically on how much you lose in pay, etcetera, if you stay at home and breastfeed your child the way you're supposed to for the recommended amount of time. Quite something.

Now, everyone has heard about the "Google Drive," I don't know if you've heard of the Google Drive. It says...

Google on Tuesday unveiled what it affectionately referred to as its "Loch Ness Monster" of products, a long-rumored cloud-based file storage service called simply "Google Drive."

But now, Google is having trouble keeping its monster under control as a number of Web users have raised privacy issues with the new product.

(A: Well, there's nothing that Google is ever going to do that isn't part of the NSA, folks: it has been since its inception—and Facebook and all the rest of them. And they give you front-characters out there, "oh, he's really a genius" and you put a little child there, sucking a lollipop out there. That's how they present them to the world. These are massive teams that put together these things, not one single little character. Anyway...)

Specifically, Web users and tech writers have expressed misgivings about Google's terms of service for Google Drive and all of its other Web products, which seem to allow the company to access and manipulate information uploaded by users to the cloud-based hard drive for any purpose Google deems fit.

(A: See, it's all theirs once it's up there...)

The key passage of Google's terms of service that has caused many to think twice about taking Google Drive for a test drive reads as follows:

"When you upload or otherwise submit content to our Services, you give Google

(A: You give Google...)

(and those we work with)

(A: That's all the third-parties or fourth-parties...)

a worldwide license to use, host, store, reproduce, modify, create derivative works (such as those resulting from translations, adaptations or other changes we make so that your content works better with our Services),

(A: They can alter everything and grab it as well and use it and hand it out to others.)

communicate, publish, publicly perform, publicly display and distribute such content. "

(A: So they can give it to whoever they wish...)

Google prefaces this by stating that it won't assume ownership of your content, "You retain ownership of any intellectual property rights that you hold in that content. In short, what belongs to you stays yours," and by stating that it will only use the information uploaded to Google Drive and other products "for the limited purpose of operating, promoting, and improving our Services, and to develop new ones."

Etcetera. So, it's just another con. And folk will use it, because you can't stop them. You can't stop them. Even if they're getting brain tumors with their phones, it doesn't bother them, and the fact they all know they're being watched, tracked and traced; that doesn't bother most of them anyway, because there's a whole generation been trained to go through all the security at airports and schools even, trained every day at school going through all the metal detectors, so they've got quite used to having no privacy or freedom. You get a limited freedom if you play the game. That's how you're taught. Play the game like a good animal and we'll give you a treat, and we won't bother you too much, but everything you do is going to be known by us, the big boys at the top.

This other one is about Malthusianism, because Malthus of course was the guy who came out initially and did all his charts and graphs, he had flowcharts way back then you know; it seems to impress people more than any facts. But he said that of course that the people were going to starve to death eventually; meaning all the elite people, that's what they were worried about, the elite would starve to death because too many of the peasants at the bottom would be giving birth to children and eating all their food, you see, which of course the peasants grew themselves. It says here...

So, in the last week of April 2012, via the likes of Professor Paul Ehrlich and The Royal Society in The Guardian newspaper, the Malthusian collectivist-fascist elites begin to show their true anti-free-human 'common purpose': the end of national economic freedom and sovereignty, decimation of population numbers to a fraction of present levels, and the strict draconian

control of those who remain...

(A: And it's true, you understand, these people have given themselves - they're self-appointed, you understand, working for big foundations—the guys who want to control the world—to give them more laws and regulations to come at you, to sterilize you too by the way. They'll give you an IQ test and say "oh, well, you've passed the IQ test but we've noticed that your great-grandfather had an awful allergy, to hay fever." So snip, snip, and that's you. I'm not kidding. I am not kidding folks. You don't vote any of these big foundations in; they're all owned together by the Rothschilds, Rockefellers, Ford, Carnegie, and all the rest of them across the world, set up with the expressed purpose of bringing us all to the state we're at now. Anyway, it says...)

This is NOT about saving the planet, it is about saving globalist elite plutocracy via a 'new world order'. The pseudo-green-science being used as an excuse for all this has been shown up for the Malthusian sham that it is.

The very week that such Malthusian Dr Strangelove announcements are lauded by a captive world media, one of the best websites exposing the real genocidal agenda of 'green' elites (in their own twisted words) suddenly disappears from many web-browsers without trace.

(A: Anyway, we've got the link here to see what they actually said. I'll put all these links up at cuttingthroughthematrix.com tonight remember. It says...)

The real 'Population Bomb' is a population kept DUMB, and fooled into participating in its own cull and imprisonment. There is no genuine over-population problem and there is no reason for a global shortage of food or food-producing capacity. For your own sake, and for the future of your children, wise up to the bigger picture of the horrendous elite Malthusian scam: study ALL of the following essential information before you uptake any more pseudo-science based propaganda in a collectivist-slave media that is anything but a 'guardian' of your best-interests...

So, I'll put this up with a whole bunch of links on it, and you can see how the Royal Society, which is just a front organization for the elite by the way, always was, I went through that last week. As I say, to join that at that time you had to like men more than women in fact, at the Royal Society, and you had to give up your wife and family and put them aside and never see them again, if you joined it; that was the law for many, many, many years. Francis Bacon joined it and he did that, he put his family away- men only, for a long, long time. They also called themselves "the underground stream," you see, because they were the ones who had all the knowledge, and one day "they the scientists" would rule the world. I'm not kidding. They have this in their old, old documents and stuff, quite something else, and it goes on and on like that too.

Now, another thing that's happening too, I don't know if people realize, there's an awful lot of flotsam, it's called, from the tsunami from Japan, only now coming up on the west coast of Canada and the States. A motorcycle came up, actually it was in a moving van, one of these cube moving vans, washed up in B.C. and it was a Japanese registration on it too. It says...

1.5 million tonnes of flotsam believed to be headed to Canada

That's just to Canada, not the States; there's more to the States as well, and it's all gradually making its way. A ship came across the Pacific too, I think it was not too big,

maybe a 150-footer; a Japanese fishing boat turned up too, nobody on it. One and a half million tons is going to hit Canada, and it's hitting Canada now in fact and the States as well. I'll put this story up and you can see what's coming up on the beaches.

And of course they say there's no reason to worry about radiation, etcetera, etcetera. Well, they said that when we initially got exposed to it all; we're still getting exposed to it all, by the way, and it's all gone quiet again, all gone quiet again.

Back with more after this break.

Hi folks, I'm back, Cutting Through the Matrix, and just before I go to the caller, I'll put up a link too to do with Canada's banks. Canada was quiet and of course they lied during the bank crisis, they said "oh, the banks are in great shape," though here's years later they tell us the truth; that's how it works in Canada. It says 114 billion dollars were given out to the banks, the Canadian banks, in bailouts. So, it takes a few years to filter down through all the bureaucrats to the newspapers.

We'll go to Jay from Ohio who is on the line. Are you there Jay?

Jay: Hey Alan, how are you doing?

Alan: Not bad at all, yeah.

Jay: Okay, I was reading, I'm almost done with the *Political Ponerology* book, and one of the things that he talks about, and I'm really interested in, is the paralleligisms and the paramoralisms, so I looked up more about paralleligisms, and I got directed to sophism, which goes back to the Greeks, If I'm correct. And it was saying that "sophism" is a fallacious argument, but it can be unintentional or it can be intentional. So, you know, I'm thinking, okay, society and what we're being given through the media and literature is a lot of sophism, arguments that are meant to deceive, and it's just like, you know it brings home a lot of things that you say on the air and also, going back to the couple of religion discs I got from you. Incredible. Truly incredible, you know.

Alan: It is; it truly is. You understand, there's only a couple of companies that give us all our news, and in actual fact I believe it's one: I believe that the two of them are really one now. You've got Reuters and the A.P. and that gives the whole world their news in all languages across the whole planet. And we know that Reuters was owned by the Rothschilds: they set it up, started it a long time ago to standardize information. We know that the Council on Foreign Relations, all their boys in the big foundations, Ford, Carnegie, etcetera, back in the thirties, did studies on newspapers across America to decide how many they would have to own outright to standardize public opinion, and they came down to about only thirty I think they said at the time; thirty main ones would be all they would have to own, but now they own them all and all your television as well. So, everything, literally, is completely a fake reality they give us; it's dumbed down, it really is herd mentality stuff they give us, and very little truth is ever involved. You understand, the whole point of government today is to deceive the people; it's not there to be open to the public; it never was for hundreds of years by the way. It definitely has a better way of dealing with the public now, who still think they're getting real news that affects them.

So, we're run, and it's true, and it's in an expert system that we're living in; we're in a bubble, and outside of it all are the "experts" that give us this reality. That was also shown in various sci-fi movies, with the THX 1138 movie, remember, a long time ago by a guy, obviously, in the know who made it and they showed you all these observers watching everybody on cameras and even having the cops tazer them all the time; that

was back in the sixties. See, what's happening today was planned an awful long time ago, and even right down to giving them pills and different drugs to keep them, all the workers, in a fairly stable frame of mind, bring them in for treatments if they were kind of unstable, and discuss them like animals in the lab, and people have to see that movie. Thanks for calling.

Now remember too, I'll put up that link tonight on Katerina Jeleva to do with her court case tomorrow, and bombard that place with emails, and give her support and see what happens. You can also call her too, I'll put her number up, if you have any advice on how to handle this. From Hamish and myself from Ontario Canada, it's goodnight and may your God or your Gods go with you.

Topics of show covered in following links:

[Family Assessments and the Power they Wield to Remove Children--Without Observing Family Interaction](#)

[Please give support to Katerina Jeleva - May 1st, Case Number 1065414. Info. to contact Katerina, the court, etc.. Requested for public viewing by Katerina Jeleva](#)

[Child Vaccines Caused Autism in Monkeys](#)

[Breastfeeding, time dollars and cents](#)

[Google and "Google Drive" Cloud\)--Terms of Service](#)

[Malthus Wears a Green Cloak for 21st. Century](#)

[Church of Malthus---"The Earth is Full" etc..](#)

[Overpopulation is a Myth----With a Purpose Check this out.](#)

[One and a Half Million Tonnes of Flotsam from 2011 Japan Tsunami Heading Toward West Coast](#)

[Canada's Banks were Bailed Out by Taxpayers between 2008 and 2010](#)

[Canada's Quiet Banks Bail-Out](#)

Alan's Materials Available for Purchase and Ordering Information:

BOOKS "Cutting Through" & "Waiting for the Miracle....."
Volumes 1, 2, 3

Also available in Spanish or Portuguese translation:
"Esperando el Milagro....." ([Español](#)) & "Esperando um Milagre....." ([Português](#))

CDs Ancient Religions
and History MP3
CDs:
Part 1 (1998) and
Part 2 (1998-2000)

& Blurbs and 'Cutting Through the Matrix'
Shows on MP3 CDs (Up to 50 Hours per Disc)

[DVDs](#)

"Reality Check Part 1"
Esoterica and ...TIME"

&

"Reality Check Part 2 - Wisdom,